



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,892	08/27/2002	Thomas M. Breuel	111744	3616
27074 7590 08/29/2007 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				
			EXAMINER PAULA, CESAR B	
			ART UNIT 2178	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 08/29/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com
jarmstrong@oliff.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/064,892

Applicant(s)

BREUEL ET AL.

Examiner

CESAR B. PAULA

Art Unit

2178

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CESAR B. PAULA.

(3) _____.

(2) JAMES GOLLIDAY.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 3-13, 16, AND 18-28.


Identification of prior art discussed: TULI.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: DISCUSSED DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PRIOR ART OF RECORD AND THE CLAIMS.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


CESAR PAULA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314TELEPHONE: (703) 836-6400
FACSIMILE: (703) 836-2787
E-MAIL: EMAIL@OLIFF.COM
WWW.OLIFF.COM

August 20, 2007

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEETTo: Examiner Paula
Fax: 571 273 4128

From: James Golladay

Your Ref.: 10/064892

Our Ref.: 111744

Number of Pages Sent (Including cover sheet): 2

Prepared By: JEG

Comments:

Sent By: JEG

This facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this facsimile is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or telephone, and return the facsimile to us by mail at the above address.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/064,892 First Named Applicant: Thomas M. BREUEL
 Examiner: C. PAULA Art Unit: 2178 Status of Application: _____

Tentative Participants:

(1) James Golladay (2) _____
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 8/21/07 Proposed Time: 2:00 pm (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☐ NO
 If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rej	1, 3-13, 16, 18-28	See below	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

US Pat No 6633314 to Tuli;

Features of deconstructing a document in a page image into the set of segmented image elements ; the intermediate data structure is automatically adaptable at the time of display to constraints of any display device or circumstance of viewing; physical segmentation of data and logical segmentation of data; and the deconstructing circuit, routine or application first deconstructs the document in a page image format into non-text image areas, layout properties, and a set of compressed segmented image elements, the synthesizing circuit, routine or application then synthesizes the non-text image areas, the layout properties, and the set of segmented image elements into the intermediate data structure

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.